

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**
8

9 CHEVRON CORPORATION,
10 Plaintiff,

11 v.
12 STEVEN DONZIGER, et al.,
13 Defendants.

Case No. 12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS
TO QUASH; REQUIRING
MEET AND CONFER**

Related Case: 10-mc-80225 CRB (NC)

Re Dkt. Nos. 1, 4, 18, 19, 30

16
17 Civil Local Rule 37-1(a) provides that the Court will not entertain a motion to
18 resolve a discovery dispute unless counsel have previously conferred for the purpose of
19 attempting to resolve all disputed issues. In this matter, the Court has reviewed the
20 motions to quash subpoenas (Dkt. Nos. 1, 4, 18, 19, and 30) and finds that as to each of
21 the motions, there is no evidence presented that counsel conferred for the purpose of
22 resolving the disputes before filing the motions. Accordingly, the Court DENIES
23 without prejudice each of these motions to quash and orders the parties to meet and
24 confer in the next fourteen days in an attempt to resolve the disputed issues.

25 If the parties are not able to resolve the discovery disputes, the parties must
26 present the disputed discovery issues in a joint letter brief, as required by my Civil
27 Standing Order. A copy of my Civil Standing Order is appended to this order.

28 //

Case No. 12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)
ORDER RE MOTIONS
TO QUASH

1 Enforcement of the disputed subpoenas is stayed while the parties meet and
2 confer.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 DATED: October 23, 2012

6 
7 NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28